

Presentazione dei risultati degli studi interlaboratorio sui Biostimolanti

5 Dicembre 2023
Dr Sandro Spezia

TC 455 Standardization Request

- 2021: Accettazione da parte del CEN della Standardization request (SR) formulata dalla Commissione UE in vista dell'entrata in vigore del nuovo Regolamento Europeo sui fertilizzanti.
- In pratica, la SR chiede al CEN che vengano predisposte 68 nuove norme armonizzate, quale riferimento per i necessari controlli di qualità dei prodotti che rientrano all'interno del Regolamento,
- E' stata acquisita la convenorship del WG 3 "Pathogenic and non-pathogenic microorganisms" del TC 455 "Plant biostimulants" e WG 5
- E' stato quindi predisposto un piano operativo pluriennale delle attività assegnate ai due WG, che rientrano nel piano di competenza del TC 455

TS pubblicati

1. CEN/TS 17700-1:2022 (WI=00455039) Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 1: General principles
2. CEN/TS 17700-2:2022 (WI=00455045) Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 2: Nutrient use efficiency resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant
3. CEN/TS 17700-3:2022 (WI=00455054) Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 3: Tolerance to abiotic stress resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant
4. CEN/TS 17700-4:2022 (WI=00455038) Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 4: Determination of quality traits resulting from the use of a plant biostimulant
5. CEN/TS 17700-5:2022 (WI=00455044) Plant biostimulants - Claims - Part 5: Determination of availability of confined nutrients in the soil or rhizosphere
6. CEN/TS 17701-1:2022 (WI=00455058) Plant biostimulants - Determination of specific elements - Part 1: Digestion by aqua regia for subsequent determination of elements
7. CEN/TS 17701-2:2022 (WI=00455059) Plant biostimulants - Determination of specific elements - Part 2: Determination of total content of Cd, Pb, Ni, As, Cr, Cu and Zn
8. CEN/TS 17701-3:2022 (WI=00455012) Plant biostimulants - Determination of specific elements - Part 3: Determination of mercury
9. CEN/TS 17702-1:2022 (WI=00455035) Plant biostimulants - Sampling and sample preparation - Part 1: Sampling
10. CEN/TS 17702-2:2022 (WI=00455036) Plant biostimulants - Sampling and sample preparation - Part 2: Sample preparation
11. CEN/TS 17703:2022 (WI=00455011) Plant biostimulants - Determination of chromium(VI)
12. CEN/TS 17704:2022 (WI=00455025) Plant biostimulants - Determination of dry matter
13. CEN/TS 17705:2022 (WI=00455028) Plant biostimulants - Determination of phosphonates
14. CEN/TS 17706:2022 (WI=00455034) Plant biostimulants - Determination of inorganic arsenic
15. CEN/TS 17707:2022 (WI=00455037) Plant biostimulants - Determination of the yeast and mould content
16. CEN/TS 17708:2022 (WI=00455040) Plant biostimulants - Preparation of sample for microbial analysis
17. CEN/TS 17709:2022 (WI=00455041) Plant biostimulants - Determination of Azotobacter spp.

TS pubblicati

18. CEN/TS 17710:2022 (WI=00455042) Plant biostimulants - Detection of Listeria monocytogenes
19. CEN/TS 17711:2022 (WI=00455043) Plant biostimulants - Detection of Vibrio spp.
20. CEN/TS 17712:2022 (WI=00455046) Plant biostimulants - Detection of Staphylococcus aureus
21. CEN/TS 17713:2022 (WI=00455047) Plant biostimulants - Determination of Azospirillum spp.
22. CEN/TS 17714:2022 (WI=00455048) Plant biostimulants - Determination of microorganisms' concentration
23. CEN/TS 17715:2022 (WI=00455049) Plant biostimulants - Detection of Shigella spp.
24. CEN/TS 17716:2022 (WI=00455050) Plant biostimulants - Determination of Escherichia coli
25. CEN/TS 17717:2022 (WI=00455051) Plant biostimulants - Detection of Salmonella spp.
26. CEN/TS 17718:2022 (WI=00455052) Plant biostimulants - Determination of Rhizobium spp.
27. CEN/TS 17719:2022 (WI=00455053) Plant biostimulants - Determination of the anaerobic plate count
28. CEN/TS 17720:2022 (WI=00455055) Plant biostimulants - Determination of Enterococcaceae
29. CEN/TS 17721:2022 (WI=00455056) Plant biostimulants - Determination of the pH for liquid microbial plant biostimulants/pH in microbial products - Determination of pH
30. CEN/TS 17722:2022 (WI=00455057) Plant biostimulants - Determination of mycorrhizal fungi
31. CEN/TS 17723:2022 (WI=00455060) Plant biostimulants - Determination of chloride
32. CEN/TS 17724:2022 (WI=00455061) Plant biostimulants - Terminology
33. CEN/TS 17725:2022 (WI=00455062) Plant biostimulants - Determination of the quantity (indicated by mass or volume)

Progetti

Project	Project Leader
Position 1 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Shigella spp (TS and hEN)	Emanuela Biagioni Angeli
Position 2 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Staphylococcus aureus (TS and hEN)	Sara García Figuera
Position 3 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Azospirillum spp (TS and hEN)	Karine Picard
Position 4 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Listeria monocytogenes (TS and hEN)	Maurizio Ruzzi
Position 5 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Salmonella spp (TS and hEN)	Marie-Laure Guillotin
Position 6 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Rhizobium spp (TS and hEN)	Manuele Ricci
Position 7 - Plant Biostimulants - Anaerobic plate count (TS and hEN)	Maurizio Ruzzi
Position 8 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of mycorrhizal fungi (TS and hEN)	Christian Hecker

Position 9 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of the pH for liquid microbial plant biostimulants/pH in microbial products (TS and hEN)	Simonetta Gallerini
Position 10 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Enterococcaceae (TS and hEN)	Sara García Figuera
Position 11 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Vibrio spp (TS and hEN)	Simonetta Gallerini
Position 12 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Escherichia coli (TS and hEN)	Martina Buccella
Position 13 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of Azotobacter spp. fungi (TS and hEN)	Manuele Ricci
Position 14 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of the yeast and mould content (TS and hEN)	Martina Buccella
Position 15 - Plant Biostimulants - Determination of microorganisms concentration (TS and hEN)	Karine Picard
Position 16 - Plant Biostimulants - Preparation of sample for microbial analysis (TS and hEN)	Emanuela Biagioni Angeli

Biostimolanti – Prove interlaboratorio realizzate

	Working Group di riferimento	oggetto	Periodo di esecuzione
1° Gruppo	WG3	Determination of mycorrhizal fungi	Maggio-Giugno 2022
	WG5	Quantity (mass and Volume)	
2° Gruppo (Le prove del gruppo tutte su "subsrato" Azotobacter)	WG3	Determination of Azotobacter spp.	Giugno – Luglio 2022
	WG3	Detection of Vibrio spp	
	WG3	Determination of Escherichia coli	
	WG3	Determination of the Yeast and Mould content	
3° Gruppo (Le prove del gruppo tutte su "subsrato" Rhizobium)	WG3	Determination of Rhizobium spp.	Agosto – Settembre 2022
	WG3	Detection of Listeria	
	WG3	Detection of Salmonella spp.	
	WG3	Determination of Enterococcaceae	
	WG3	Determination of pH in microbial products	
4° Gruppo (Le prove del gruppo tutte su "subsrato" Azospirillum)	WG3	Determination of Azospirillum spp.	Settembre – Ottobre 2022
	WG3	Detection of Shigella spp .	
	WG3	Detection of Staphylococcus aureus	
	WG3	Determination of the anaerobic plate count	
	WG5	Determination of the chloride	
Partnership con ILSA Lab	WG4	Determination of Chromium VI	Ottobre – Novembre 2022

Interlaboratory Studies

Specific commercial products

CEN/TC 455/WG 5
“Labelling and denominations”

❖ Quantity (density)

3 LIQUID PRODUCTS

- Liquid
- Thick liquid
- Very viscous

❖ Quantity (mass)

6 SOLID PRODUCTS

(laboratory will have to determine the weight of each one, then will have to send the packages to another laboratory; every 4 laboratories, the packages will be returned to the organizer for an intermediate check).

CEN/TC 455/WG 3
“Pathogenic and non-pathogenic microorganisms”

2 BLEND PRODUCTS:

- Liquid blend (liquid biostimulant + liquid fertilizer)
- Solid blend (solid biostimulant + solid fertilizer) (1 solid blend for genotype identification= solid biostimulant + solid fertilizer)

3 BIOSTIMULANT PRODUCTS:

- Liquid
- Solid 1 Pellet containing one or more beneficial (azospirillum, azotobacter etc.)
- Solid 2 Growing substrate (based on peat, organic substances with N, P and carbonates, and containing one or more beneficial - azospirillum, azotobacter etc.)

Number of samples

Working group	Analysis	Project leader	N. Laboratories	N. Samples
WG3	Detection of <i>Shigella</i> spp.	Biagioni Angeli	8	7 (tot. 56)
	Detection of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Garcia Prospero & Partners	11	7 (tot. 77)
	Determination of <i>Azospirillum</i> spp.	Picard	8	10 (tot. 80)
	Detection of <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	Ruzzi Prospero & Partners	11	7 (tot. 77)
	Detection of <i>Salmonella</i> spp .	Guillotin	11	7 (tot. 77)
	Determination of <i>Rhizobium</i> spp.	Ricci	8	10 (tot. 80)
	Anaerobic plate count	Ruzzi Prospero & Partners	9	7 (tot. 63)
	Determination of mycorrhizal fungi	Hecker	11	10 (tot. 110)
	Determination of pH	Gallerini	12	7 (tot. 84)
	Determination of <i>Enterococcaceae</i>	Garcia Prospero & Partners	9	7 (tot. 63)
	Detection of <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	Gallerini	8	7 (tot. 56)
	Determination of <i>Escherichia coli</i>	Buccella	12	7 (tot. 84)
	Determination of <i>Azotobacter</i> spp.	Ricci	8	10 (tot. 80)
	Determination of the yeast and mould content	Buccella	12	7 (tot. 84)
WG5	Quantity (mass)	Chenon Prospero & Partners	9	15 (tot. 135)
	Quantity (density)		9	3 (tot. 27)
	Determination of the Chloride	Gallerini	11	5 (tot. 55)

ILS structure

Four groups of analysis

1st Group

WG3
Determination of mycorrhizal fungi
WG5
Quantity (density)
Quantity (mass)

2nd Group

WG3
Determination of Azotobacter spp.
Detection of Vibrio spp
Determination of Escherichia coli
Determination of the Yeast and Mould content

3rd Group

WG3
Determination of Rhizobium spp.
Detection of Listeria
Detection of Salmonella spp.
Determination of Enterococcaceae
Determination of pH in microbial products

4th Group

WG3
Determination of Azospirillum spp.
Detection of Shigella spp .
Detection of Staphylococcus aureus
Determination of the anaerobic plate count
WG5
Determination of the chloride

Shigella

Sample	Matrix	I	n	o %	Real value	N° of correct results	N° of false positive/negative	Correct results %
01_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	11	33	0	Absent	31	2	93,9%
01_MS_01+02	Solid blend	11	33	0	Absent	33	0	100%
01_BL_01	Bio.liquid	11	33	0	Present	33	0	100%
01_PR_01	Solid 1	11	33	0	Present	30	3	90,9%
01_PS_01	Solid 2	11	33	0	Absent	30	3	90,9%
I n o	number of laboratories after outlier rejection number of individual test results after outlier rejection percentage of outliers							

Staphylococcus aureus

Sample	Matrix	I	n	o %	Real value	Nº of correct results	Nº of false positive/negative	Correct results %
02_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	13	39	0	Absent	38	1	97,4%
02_MS_01+02	Solid blend	13	39	0	Absent	37	2	94,9%
02_BL_01	Bio.liquid	13	39	0	Present	37	2	94,9%
02_PR_01	Solid 1	13	39	0	Present	38	1	97,4%
02_PS_01	Solid 2	13	39	0	Absent	37	2	94,9%
I n o	number of laboratories after outlier rejection number of individual test results after outlier rejection percentage of outliers							

Listeria monocytogenes

Sample	Matrix	I	n	o %	Real value	N° of correct results	N° of false positive/negative	Correct results %
04_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	13	39	0	Present	39	0	100%
04_MS_01+02	Solid blend	13	39	0	Absent	36	3	92,3%
04_BL_01	Bio.liquid	13	39	0	Absent	36	3	92,3%
04_PR_01	Solid 1	13	39	0	Present	39	0	100%
04_PS_01	Solid 2	13	39	0	Present	39	0	100%
I n o	number of laboratories after outlier rejection number of individual test results after outlier rejection percentage of outliers							

Escherichia Coli

Sample	Matrix	I	n	o %	\bar{x} CFU/g	\bar{x} \log_{10}	s_R \log_{10}	s_r \log_{10}
12_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	12	60	14	$3,17 \cdot 10^2$	2,501	0,389	0,241
12_MS_01+02	Solid blend	11	55	21	$3,31 \cdot 10^2$	2,521	0,537	0,197
12_BL_01	Bio.liquid	12	60	14	$3,63 \cdot 10^2$	2,560	0,500	0,191
12_PR_01	Solid 1	11	55	21	$6,89 \cdot 10^2$	2,838	0,478	0,1900
12_PS_01	Solid 2	10	50	29	$2,80 \cdot 10^4$	4,447	0,330	0,218
I n o \bar{x} s_R s_r	number of laboratories after outlier rejection number of individual test results after outlier rejection percentage of outliers overall mean of results (without outliers) s_R reproducibility standard deviation s_r repeatability standard deviation							

Enterococcaceae

Sample	Matrix	I	n	o %	\bar{x} CFU/g	\bar{x} Log ₁₀	s _R Log ₁₀	s _r Log ₁₀
10_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	9	41	18	1,05*10 ⁵	5,130	0,496	0,168
10_MS_01+02	Solid blend	9	42	18	3,22*10 ⁵	5,508	0,456	0,175
10_BL_01	Bio.liquid	8	36	27	4,21*10 ⁵	5,624	0,337	0,134
10_PR_01	Solid 1	8	39	27	3,12*10 ⁵	5,494	0,444	0,150
10_PS_01	Solid 2	8	36	27	4,02*10 ⁵	5,604	0,285	0,178
I n o \bar{x} s _R s _r	number of laboratories after outlier rejection number of individual test results after outlier rejection percentage of outliers overall mean of results (without outliers) s _R reproducibility standard deviation s _r repeatability standard deviation							

Azospirillum spp.

Sample	Matrix	LAB	I	n	o %	\bar{x} CFU/g	\bar{x} \log_{10}	s_R \log_{10}	s_r \log_{10}
01_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	9	6	17	5,5	$3,75 \cdot 10^6$	6,574	0,509	0,182
01_MS_01+02	Solid blend	9	6	18	0	$8,12 \cdot 10^3$	3,910	0,498	0,158
01_BL_01	Bio.liquid	9	6	18	0	$1,61 \cdot 10^5$	5,206	0,439	0,263
01_PR_01	Solid 1	9	5	15	16,7	$2,13 \cdot 10^4$	4,329	0,544	0,073
01_PS_01	Solid 2	9	5	15	16,7	$8,07 \cdot 10^4$	4,907	0,225	0,049
LAB	Total number of participant laboratories								
I	number of laboratories after outlier rejection								
n	number of individual test results after outlier rejection								
o	percentage of outliers (blunders excluded)								
\bar{x}	overall mean of results (without outliers)								
s_R	s_R reproducibility standard deviation								
s_r	s_r repeatability standard deviation								

Rhizobium spp.

Sample	Matrix	LAB	Blunder s	I	n	o %	\bar{x} CFU/g	\bar{x} \log_{10}	s_R \log_{10}	S_r \log_{10}
01_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	9	2	6	18	14	$7,54 \cdot 10^9$	9,878	0,532	0,152
01_MS_01+02	Solid blend	9	2	7	21	0	$8,49 \cdot 10^8$	8,929	0,539	0,168
01_BL_01	Bio.liquid	9	2	7	21	0	$4,88 \cdot 10^9$	9,689	0,504	0,115
01_PR_01	Solid 1	9	2	6	18	0	$6,00 \cdot 10^8$	8,778	0,756	0,097
01_PS_01	Solid 2	9	2	7	21	0	$4,76 \cdot 10^8$	8,678	0,333	0,116
LAB	Total number of participant laboratories									
I	number of laboratories after outlier rejection									
n	number of individual test results after outlier rejection									
o	percentage of outliers (blunders excluded)									
\bar{x}	overall mean of results (without outliers)									
s_R	s_R reproducibility standard deviation									
s_r	s_r repeatability standard deviation									

pH

Cloruri

Sample	Matrix	I	n	o %	\bar{x} g/kg	s _R g/kg	C _{VR} %	S _r g/kg	C _{Vr} %
17_ML_01+02	Liquid blend	7	35	36	24,80	0,81	3,2%	0,46	1,8%
17_MS_01+02	Solid blend	8	40	27	14,05	1,46	10,4%	0,79	5,6%
17_BL_01	Bio.liquid	6	30	0	0,14	0,97	70,8%	0,011	8,3%
17_PR_01	Solid 1	7	35	36	29,09	1,39	4,8%	1,28	4,4%
17_PS_01	Solid 2	8	40	27	2,55	0,29	11,4%	0,13	5,1%
I n o \bar{x} s _R C _{VR} S _r C _{V,r}	number of laboratories after outlier rejection number of individual test results after outlier rejection percentage of outliers overall mean of results (without outliers) s _R reproducibility standard deviation C _{VR} coefficient of variation of reproducibility S _r repeatability standard deviation C _{V,r} coefficient of variation of repeatability								

Cr VI

Sample	Matrix	I	n	o %	X	\bar{x} mg/kg	n	s _R mg/kg	C _{VR} %	S _r mg/kg	C _{Vr} %
LB-001	Liquid blend	12	60	0	46,30	46,98	98,6%	0,91	1,96%	0,55	1,19%
SB-002	Solid blend	11	55	8,3%	24,86	25,76	96,5%	1,48	5,97%	1,48	5,97%
LPB-003	Liquid biostimulant	11	55	8,3%	8,18	8,25	99,1%	0,19	2,27%	0,14	1,71%
SPB-004	Solid 1	12	60	0	19,62	19,08	102,8%	1,34	6,83%	1,34	6,83%
SPB-005	Solid 2	10	50	16,6%	30,48	31,54	96,6%	0,76	2,48%	0,70	2,28%
I n o X \bar{x} η s _R C _{VR} s _r C _{V,r}	number of laboratories after outlier rejection number of individual test results after outlier rejection percentage of outliers Theoretical Value overall mean of results (without outliers) recovery s _R reproducibility standard deviation C _{VR} coefficient of variation of reproducibility s _r repeatability standard deviation C _{V,r} coefficient of variation of repeatability										

Conclusioni

- La determinazione di patogeni e microorganismi nei biostimolanti è complessa, a causa della matrice particolarmente carica di lieviti e muffe
- Nelle prove di presenza/assenza quasi sempre presenti percentuali di falsi positivi e in misura molto minore falsi negativi, anche se generalmente, (con qualche eccezione) con una percentuale < 5%.
- Le prove di «enumeration» hanno fornito spesso scarti tipo di riproducibilità intorno (se non superiori) ai 0,5 log10, e solo per una tipologia di matrice intorno ai 0,3 log10
- Come ci si attendeva, le prove inorganiche hanno presentato molti meno problemi con in genere ottimi risultati
- Sicuramente la poca esperienza dei laboratori con questo tipo di matrice ha giocato un ruolo importante in questi risultati.
- Da qui nasce la proposta di iniziare a proporre dei circuiti UNICHIM che propongano a rotazione i parametri microbiologici su campioni di biostimolanti commerciali (più probabilmente dal 2025, ma si tenterà anche di proporre qualcosa nella seconda metà del 2024)